PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

DCCKETED

JAN 1 0 2006

F	rom	the	3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: CAROL J. ROTH
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC

SUITE 6300 701 FIFTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 10 DFC 2005				
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
980049.404PC						
International application No.	International filing dat	ate (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US04/23745 23 July 2004 (23 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification (IPC) are both national class						
IPC(7): A61P 9/00; A61K 31/55; C07 Applicant	D 223/14, 223/16, 498/00), 513/00 and US Cl.:	514/215; 540/578, 580, 586, 593			
X-CEPTOR THERAPEUTICS, INC.						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
Box No. I Basis of	Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority	Priority					
Box No. III Non-estal	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of t	Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned applicabi	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain d	Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain d	efects in the international	application				
Box No. VIII Certain o	bservations on the internal	tional application				
2. FURTHER ACTION						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	US Date of compopinion	oletion of this	Authorized officer Brenda L. Coleman J. Walson			
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Fracional No. (571) 272, 2701		r 2005 (14.11.2005)	Telephone No. 571-272-1600			

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/23745

Box No. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
the international application in the language in which it was filed				
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).				
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. type of material				
a sequence listing				
table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material				
оп рарег				
in electronic form				
c. time of filing/furnishing				
contained in the international application as filed.				
filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
Turnished Subsequently to this Additionly for the purposes of seatons				
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Additional comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/23745

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
the entire international application				
claims Nos. 47 and 48				
because:				
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):				
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 47 and 48 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): Claims 47 and 48 are improper multiple dependent claims under PCT Rule 6.4(a).				
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.				
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter. 1(a) or (b).				
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
See Supplemental Box for further details.				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. III) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/23745

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-46	YES		
,			NONO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-46			
	Claims	NONE			
	Claima	1.46	YES		
Industrial applicability (IA)		1-46 NONE			
	Claims	NONE	140		
2. Citations and explanations:					
Claims 1-46 meet the criteria set out in PCT Articl and compositions of formula I as claimed herein.	te 33(2)-(3), bec	ause the prior art does not teac	h or fairly suggest the compounds		
Claims 1-46 meet the criteria set out in PCT Articl applicability because the subject matter claimed car			ions of formula I have industrial		
		•			
			•		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)